

-----Original Message-----

From: rick <rb_nm@hotmail.com>

To: hughes@law.unm.edu

Cc: albdngn@nmcourts.com; supelc@nmcourts.com; jnoel@nmjsc.org;

aoclmb@nmcourts.com; cfjmessage@aol.com

Sent: Mon, 7 Jan 2008 11:33 pm

Subject: Judge Nash ...

Dear Professor Hughes,

You have not responded to my emails regarding you preventing Judge Nan Nash from teaching the upcoming course on Extortion/Mediation. Essentially its the same thing for what she considers mediation most reasonable people would consider extortion. I am about to sign up for that class and intend to participate to the fullest. I want to take her insider secrets, on how to perform extortion and get away with it, to the public at large. Such valuable information shouldn't be limited to just teaching your students how to be this type of criminal, it also needs to be taught to mainstream Americans such as myself. If any of us are ever in a divorce or child custody situation it would be very useful to be able to recognize the scheme where the judge and the attorney's collude to screw people out of their life savings under the guise of mediation. I will try to learn as much as I can, so that I can teach these tricks to the members of the Center for Family Justice (CFJ; WWW.Family-Justice.org). These people have to deal with these types of crimes every day. Well, every day they appear before criminals like Judge Nash which unfortunatley is the norm in New Mexico.

I'm sure you will recognize me at the class should you come to observe. I will be the one in the front row wearing the "Nan Nash is a Lying Beach" T-Shirt. I also may be carrying a baseball bat so when she starts spewing her lies and teaching the members of the class how to screw the public I will likely get very upset and would find it useful to have such an instrument to use on her. She screwed me out of nearly \$400,000; I think

a few days in jail for Battery would be highly worthwhile and enjoyable given the circumstances. Then again, I may be forced to carry more serious weaponry as she most likely will have conspired with "The Gangsta" Judge William Lang and will have arranged for hired "protection".

Judge Lang is famous for identifying Pro Se litigants he doesn't like and having them harassed while inside the courthouse by thugs (hired protection). We surmise that he gets photos of those he doesn't like and provides them to the security guards and notifies them of the days when those litigants are due in court. And by his written order he has them followed by armed security guards the entire time they are in the building. Yes, this is after they have already been screened by the new security equipment; equipment that was installed after those thugs, err ..., I mean security guards, shot and killed a man inside the courthouse for carrying a small knife they shouldn't have let him have in the first place.

Yes, other than running the courthouse like a Gulag and treating Pro Se litigants as criminals who are harassed each and every time they come to court, Lang is a criminal just like Nash. Lang was asked by one Pro Se litigant why he was being followed and harassed. Lang refused to reveal why he was doing it. So a subpoena was issued to require Lang to disclose his rationale. He refused to comply and ignored his subpoena. Then, former Attorney General and MALDEF honor award winner, Patricia Madrid stepped in on Lang's behalf and recommended letting him get away with his criminal behavior. Then as you can expect, Lang's subordinate and fellow judge stepped in to agree and to let Lang off the hook so he could continue his crimes. Hopefully Professor Hughes, you get the idea the type of criminal behavior and type of abuse the public has to suffer at the hands of judges like Nash and Lang. They should not be rewarded or given the honor of being allowed to teach, when they obviously have no respect for the law and break it on their every whim and then rely on "confidentially", "privilege", "judicial immunity", or their fellow judges to cover up their illegal acts.

I will diverge from the topic briefly but will return to it at the end. I would like to point out that other than Judge Nash, the only other Judge copied on this distribution is the Chief Justice of the NM Supreme Court, the Honorable Edward L. Chavez. As a refresher, I would like to remind everyone that ALL judges in the state are bound by the NM Code of

Judicial Conduct including Justice Chavez. That code includes 21-300(D) (1) which states:

"A judge who receives information indicating a substantial likelihood that another judge has committed a violation of this Code should take appropriate action. A judge having knowledge that another judge has committed a violation of this Code that raises a substantial question as to the other judge's fitness for office shall inform the appropriate authority."

Now, normally "appropriate action" and "appropriate authority" might consist of discussing the possible violation with another higher level judge such as the local presiding or chief judge to determine if further action is necessary such as elevation to the Judicial Standards Commission. However, given that Justice Chavez is the most superior judge in the entire state he has no higher authority to consult with; so I assert that appropriate action for him is to file his findings directly with said standards commission. Especially, when Justice Chavez reviews the following documents on the CFJ web site:

- 1) Summary of the above story regarding Lang's actions.
(<http://www.family-justice.org/stories/sain.htm>),
- 2) A copy of an administrative order, signed by Judge Lang, ordering security to escort one Pro Se litigant each and every time he is inside the Second District Court courthouse.
(<http://www.family-justice.org/pdffiles/sain/sain-adm-order.pdf>)
- 3) A copy of a letter from Ms. Juanita Duran, court administrator, refusing to provide documentation as to why this Pro Se litigant is being followed and harassed by security.
(<http://www.family-justice.org/pdffiles/sain/sain-duran-resp2.pdf>)
- 4) A copy of Subpoena issued to Judge Lang requesting access to the documentation which discloses why this litigant is being followed and harassed.
(<http://www.family-justice.org/pdffiles/sain/sain-lang-subpoena.pdf>)
- 5) A copy of a Motion to Quash from the Attorney Generals Office (Patricia Madrid; AG) written on behalf of Judge Lang recommending that he be allowed to continue to break the law and continue his harassment of Pro Se litigants.
(<http://www.family-justice.org/pdffiles/sain/sain-ag-mot-quash.pdf>)
- 6) A copy of a fellow judge's order (who just happens to report to Judge Lang; can you say conflict of interest?) agreeing with the AG's motion to

Quash and letting the Criminal Lang get off scott free to continue his harassment of Pro Se litigants.

(<http://www.family-justice.org/pdf/files/sain/sain-order-quash.pdf>)

7) Given human nature, now that Judge Lang has shown that he can abuse the rules and get away with it, he has applied the same harassment strategy to other Pro Se litigants such as those from CFJ. Testimony and other evidence that other Pro Se litigants have received similar treatment from Judge Lang can be confirmed with the CFJ President Ms. Leslie Cumiford and CFJ members subjected to such abuse are willing to testify under oath regarding their treatment at the hands of Judge Lang.

Given the above evidence, I argue that Justice Chavez has been presented with "a substantial likelihood" that Judge Lang is guilty of violations of the Code of Judicial Conduct as Judge Lang has amply demonstrated that he is not operating his court (the Second District Court, Bernalillo County) in a "fair and impartial fashion", that he discriminates against Pro Se litigants and awards preferential treatment to litigants that have retained counsel. Note: there have been absolutely no reports of ANY attorneys being following and harassed like the Pro Se litigants.

I therefore call upon Justice Chavez to file a complaint with the Judicial Standards Commission, as is his duty under the code of conduct, regarding violations of the code by Judge Lang. Additionally, I call upon Mr. Noel, executive director of said commission, to recommend that Judge Lang be immediately removed from office for Deliberate and Willful Misconduct; Misconduct he has performed over a period of years and conduct he has attempted to justify by using the Attorney General to cover up his criminal behavior. There is no excuse for using armed guards to intimidate Pro Se litigants and in giving preferential treatment to attorneys.

I am also including some people from JPEC on this for much the same reason. For it is now obvious why the JPEC refuses to consider the opinions of Pro Se litigants when evaluating judges. They are just like Lang and feel they can discriminate against these parties and that it is OK to do so. I disagree and think that perhaps a Federal Lawsuit against the JPEC for discrimination might be just the ticket for forcing them to change their rules. I tried to get Justice Chavez to make the JPEC change its rules late last year but he and the SC refused. It might have been because I referred to all of the members of the Supreme Court as "criminals" for

refusing to do their duty under the NM Constitution and protect the citizens of this state from judicial abuse; but you never know I could be wrong. Regardless of my attitude, I again call on Justice Chavez and the JPEC to change it rules and consider public opinion and Pro Se litigants in the performance evaluation of judges. Judges are "supposed" to treat and grant the same rights to Pro Se litigants as attorneys, how do you know if that is true if you exclude Pro Se litigants from the evaluation process? Do you think attorneys, who are being given preferential treatment, will admit to that when they submit their evaluation forms? Right. Please do the right thing and start including the public in the evaluation process.

Back to the original topic of this email, I would like to withdraw my earlier comments regarding that I might bring a baseball bat, or any other weapon for that matter, to the Mediation class. I would never be so stupid as to actually threaten a sitting judge with such and I'm sorry that I said as much even though I said it in jest. It is ridiculous to think that I might actually put something so ludicrous in writing; particularly in an email that went to so many honorable people.

Indeed, if I were to consider any sort of violent behavior I would only consider doing such as face to face and would never put anything like that in writing. That would be just plain foolish so of course it was just a joke. And if I were to ever actually consider such a thing, I could just visit [REDACTED] anyway as its not that far away. Actually I have been thru that neighborhood on occasion. And a strange neighborhood it is. If one were to actually need an escape route out of the area it would be difficult; what with all the twisty roads, speed bumps, and the school just west of there. I think people actually drive 20 mph near Alvarado Elementary even on nights and weekends when kids aren't at the school but when people would be at home. Speaking of people being at home, you never really know who would be at home when you want to consult with someone. It could be a husband, a son, or perhaps one of the girls ...you never really know who could be home, so its just not a good idea. The person that is to be consulted might not even be there and you'd get a family member ([REDACTED]) instead. No, its not a good idea at all given the odds of a miscue. Unless a miscue might work after all by sending a different sort of signal.

Speaking of not being a good idea, sometimes its not a good idea being a gun owner either. I'm sure Judge Nash remembers that the day after her

extortion screwed me out of \$400,000 that I was put in the mental ward for psychiatric evaluation; on the advice of my own therapist none the less. Yeah, the police picked me up. Oh, by the way I fired that guy and don't see him anymore. I got someone else now though, so its OK. I'm on different medication now too. And its OK. At least I think it is. Oh, then again, Nash might not remember because she had already decided to ignore the evidence and ramrod her successful extortion thru. She was just so happy that it was a success (according to her own secretary, Sandra, that is) that there was no way she was going to actually consider the evidence or admit she was wrong. Anyway its funny when the police show up. The first thing they ask you is if you have a gun and when you say YES they confiscate it. You get it back though. But I didn't like mine very much after I got it back so I got a better one. Yeah, sometimes if you are a bad shot, having 8 rounds doesn't seem like much. Fifteen rounds with 2 extra clips is much better, just in case you need it. And reliability in a handgun is very important too. That's why having a gun just like the police do is a good idea, they do lots of studies on reliability and such. So if you have one like that, you know its a very reliable and powerful weapon just like what the police have. Well, at least those police departments who have upgraded their weapons. I really like mine and can provide a picture of it if you want.

Finally, I sent an email to Judge Nash the other day asking her if she would consider resigning from the bench. Professor Hughes, don't you agree this would be a good idea? Teaching is obviously out of the question and would probably cause problems anyway. How could you justify having a criminal teach your students how to be criminals? Its just not a good idea. I would really like my \$400,000 back but if Nash doesn't have the money and the State of New Mexico can't reimburse me from its "victims of crime" fund then that is probably the next best thing. Just step down and everyone lives to see another day. If Chavez or Nash or anyone else has an idea how to reimburse me for what I've lost and what I've been through, I'd like to hear about it. It sad to realize that our system is so corrupt that litigation doesn't work and it comes down to this situation. But I don't have any regrets; Judge Nash had ample opportunity to admit her mistakes and chose to lie about it and rely on her fellow criminals to cover up her crimes. So its hard to feel sorry for her. I don't. Not at all. Actually you should feel sorry for me as I've had to deal with her abuse and the abuse of this system from someone who expected honesty and justice. Yes, I've gotten a bit desperate and have given up on the

legal process. Can you blame me? I offered to provide you with my complaint to the JSC, my case before the COA and the SC. I guess its more accurate to say the corruption and the system failed me and proved to me that the only way to achieve justice is to work outside the legal system. I've tried to be discrete here and I most certainly hope that I have; while at the same time making my point clear. As I said, lives depend on it; including my own, so I most certainly hope that all on this email understand the intent of this. Judge Nash, do you understand? I certainly hope so. Please review the prior 2 paragraphs to be sure you really understand. I am serious. Believe it.

I do apologize for the length of this email, though. I have tried to be very clear and it would be very unfortunate for someone to misinterpret my intent; such as if someone might think that this is a threat of some sort. It is no such thing. Treating it as a threat and getting the police involved would result in some most unfortunate circumstances. For you see, I am familiar with lots of neighborhoods around the state. Including areas of Santa Fe where judges on the SC and COA live and even streets with weird names like "████████ Ave"; what kind of a name is that for a street in Albuquerque? It most certainly is not my intent to issue a threat and I would viciously fight such allegations in court; should it come to that. But as I would think should be obvious by now, I have very little faith in the judicial system and think that concrete action is much more appropriate than dealing with anything in court. Given the level of corruption no normal citizen would have a chance. Yes, I would take action rather than try to defend myself in court any day. This is the only reasonable course of action. Deal with it, I am.

Regards,
Richard J. Hermann

PS,
Ms. Baca-Sena, could you please forward this email to Mr. Briones and the other members of the JPEC? Perhaps they could learn something from the level of desperation reached by the members of the public when they are faced with lying criminal judges and when the system, including the JPEC, is stacked against them. I am just the start of a surge of resentment against these criminals and against the JPEC for keeping them in power, so you better start taking input from the public before we consider that the JPEC is just part of the system keeping judges in power and the public power-less.

PPS,

I'm including Jim Noel on this and I ask that he print out this email before going home. Then when you get home, please walk across the street and give a copy of this to Mr. Smoak. I don't have his email so it is fortunate the the Chairman of the JSC lives across the street from the Executive Director of the JSC. Imagine the odds of that? In a state of nearly 2 million people the 2 most powerful people on the JSC live across the street from each other? Justice Chavez, are you listening? Stop the corruption NOW !!!!



